UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,971	10/28/2003	Yasuhiro Oshima	ITECP003	8001
	7590 06/16/200 NILLA & GENCAREI	EXAMINER		
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,971	OSHIMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA	3691	

	BIOENDIOTIC CHILESTING	0001
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on the hortened statutory period for reply original for replacements or reply original for replacements or replacements o	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered because
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 See attached Nation of Nan Cor	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpilant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7,10, 11 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered t or other evidence is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691		
,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's proposed amendment to the claims cure deficiencies raised under 101 and 112 rejections. Therefore, the proposed amendment will be entered and the grounds of rejection based on 35 USC 112(2) are withdrawn.

With respect to the grounds of rejection based on 35 USC 102, the Examiner notes the Final rejection is based what is recited in the claims. Claim 1 does not distinguish beween self-assessment and non-self assessment of an item. Argument with respect to "deduction for scratches and defects greater than resale price of trade-in-product" is described in the final rejection; Asami further teaches that used product is assessed from upper limit of resale price as indicated by previous sale and deducted for scratches and defects; trade-in product assessed is deducted from item purchased as shown in Fig. 18 and 19 (Asami; Fig. 16, paragraph [0141]).